## THE DIAGNOSTIC SPECTRUM OF MDS, MDS/MPN, AND MF

#### \*MDS Prognostic Scoring System: IPSS-R

### Prognostic Variables

Cytogenetic results (category)

Bone marrow blasts (%): <=2.0; >2.0-<5.0, 5.0-10.0, >10.0

Degree of anemia (Hgb in g/dl) ≥ 10 vs. 8-10 vs. < 8

Degree of neutropenia (x 10<sup>9</sup>/L) ≥ 0.8 vs. < 0.8

Degree of thrombocytopenia (x 10°/L) >100 vs. 50-99 vs. <50

Other prognostic scoring systems such as WPSS include WHO risk classification, transfusion dependence, and cytogenetic class. The MDACC model incorporates age, performance status, leukocytosis, degree of anemia/thrombocytopenia, marrow blasts, and cytogenetic class.

Greenberg, et al. Blood. 2012;120(12):2454. Malcovati et al. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(23):3503. Kantarjian et al. Cancer. 2008;113(6):1351. Arber et al. Blood. 2016; 127:2391-2405.

In a large study conducted by the IWG-MDS, mutations involving TP53, CBL, EZH2, RUNX1, U2AF1, and ASXL1 had an independent, adverse impact on prognosis

Pts with mutated SF3B1, or without any such mutation had a more favorable prognosis.

Bejar et al. Abstract#907.

Presented at the ASH Annual Meeting, December 7, 2015; Orlando, FL.

\*See www.aamds.org/mdsrisk for summary of MDS prognostic scoring systems



#### **Prognosis in Primary Myelofibrosis**

	Lille	IPSS	DIPSS	DIPSS Plus
Anemia (Hgb < 10g/dl)	Х	Х	Х	Х
WBC > 25 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	х*	Х	х	х
Circulating blasts ≥ 1%		Х	х	х
Constitutional symptoms		Х	х	х
Age > 65		Х	х	х
Abnormal karyotype (-8,-7,-5, i17q,12p-,inv3, 11q23 or Complex)				х
Platelets <100 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L				х
RBC transfusion dependence				х

\*The Lille score identified a WBC > 30 x 10° or a WBC of < 4 x 10° as adverse indicators

Dupriez 1996 Cervantes 2009 Passamonti 2010 Gangat

(IPSS) Cervantes et al. Blood. 2009; 113: 2985-2901. (DIPSS)Passamonti et al. Blood. 2010; 115: 1703-1708. (DIPSS-plus) Gangat et al. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(4):392. Dupriez et al. Blood. 1996; 88:1013–1018.

Please look at each individual paper for the exact score calculation.

\*The impact of the driver mutational profile on prognosis is becoming more clear. Pts with *CALR* mutations have a more favorable outcome, compared to those with *JAK2* or *MPL* mutations, and especially those that lack *JAK2/CALR/MPL* ("triple-negative").

Mutations in other genes also impact prognosis, and those with 1 or more mutations involving ASXL1, IDH, EZH2, and SRSF2 have a high risk profile. A particularly high risk profile may include pts that are CALR wild-type/ASXL1 mutated.

Rumi et al. Blood 2014; 124:1062-1069; Vannucchi et al. Leukemia 2013; 27(9):1861-1869; Guglielmelli et al. Leukemia. 2014; 28(9):1804-1810; Tefferi et al. Leukemia. 2014; 28(7): 1472-1477.

#### **MDS/MPN Prognostic Variables**

#### **Prognostic Variables in CMML**

Advanced age (> 65)

Decreased hemoglobin (< 10 g/dl)

\*Red cell transfusion dependency

Decreased platelets (< 100 x 10<sup>9</sup>/L)

Increased absolute monocyte count (> 10 x 10<sup>9</sup>/L)

Circulating immature myeloid cells

CMML FAB subtype (\*leukocyte < 13 x 10<sup>9</sup>/L more favorable)

CMML WHO subtype (worse prognosis w/ increasing PB/\*BM blasts)

\*Cytogenetic risk category Low: Normal or -Y High: +8, abn of 7, complex Intermediate: All others

\*Presence of RUNX1, NRAS, SETBP1, ASXL1 mutations

\*CPSS-Mol: Elena, C et al. Blood. 2016; 128(10): 1408-1417. Mayo Model: Patnaik et al. Leukemia. 2013; 27(7):1504-1510. CPSS: Such et al. Blood. 2013; 121:3005-3015. Itzykson et al. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31 (19):2428-2436.



## THE DIAGNOSTIC SPECTRUM OF MDS, MDS/MPN, AND MF

#### MDS: Refractory Cytopenias and Dysplasia

#### Clinical features

Constitutional symptoms less likely Refractory cytopenia(s) Organomegaly unusual

#### **Blood and marrow findings**

Hyper > hypocellularity ↑Peripheral/marrow blasts Single or multi-lineage dysplasia +/-ring sideroblasts

#### Cytogenetic and molecular features

CKA in 40-70%, even in absence of dysplasia (commonly 5q-, -7 or 7q-, +8, 20q-, and -Y)

Mutations in SF3B1, TET2, SRSF2, ASXL1, DNMT3A, RUNX1, U2AF1, TP53, and EZH2 are commonly identified, but their presence alone is insufficient to make a diagnosis of MDS





# MDS/MPN Overlap Syndromes: Dysplastic Cytopenias with Proliferation

#### Clinical features

+/- Constitutional symptoms
Cytopenia(s) with cytosis:
Thrombocytosis (MDS/MPN with ring sideroblasts and thrombocytosis)
Monocytosis (CMML)
Neutrophilia (a CML)
Organomegaly

#### **Blood** and marrow findings

Marrow hypercellularity ↑Peripheral/marrow blasts Dysplasia Ring sideroblasts (RARS-T)

#### Cytogenetic and molecular features

CKA in ~30% of CMML (+8, del(5q), +10, -11q, -12p, +17p, +19, and +21, and -Y) JAK2 V617F mutation (~50%) in MDS/MPN with ring sideroblasts and thrombocytosis often co-mutated with SF3B1 mutations

*CSF3R* mutations are rarely identified in aCML, whereas *SETBP1* and/or *ETNK1* mutations can be seen in up to 30% of aCML cases

SRSF2, TET2, and/or ASXL1 are frequently identified in CMML, whereas SETBP1, NRAS/KRAS, RUNX1, CBL, and EZH2 are less frequently identified

#### **Myelofibrosis: Myeloproliferation**

#### Clinical features

Constitutional symptoms likely Cytopenia or cytosis Increased LDH Organomegaly

#### **Blood and marrow findings**

Leukoerythroblastosis ↑Peripheral/marrow blasts Variable cellularity Proliferating/atypical megakaryocytes Reticulin/collagen fibrosis

#### Cytogenetic and molecular features

CKA in ~50% (20q-,13q-, abn chromosome 1 or 12, +8,+9,-5, and -7) JAK2 V617F (60%); CALR (20-25%); MPL (<10%)

CKA: clonal karyotypic abnormality CMML: chronic myelomonocytic leukemia aCML: atypical CML

#### **Developed by:**

Brady Stein, MD, MHS David P. Steensma, MD Ruben A. Mesa, MD